Coupling matter to spinfoam models using higher gauge theory

Marko Vojinović

(in collaboration with Aleksandar Miković and Tijana Radenković)

Group for Gravitation, Particles and Fields, Institute of Physics Belgrade



Research supported by the Science Fund of the Republic of Serbia, No. 7745968 "Quantum Gravity from Higher Gauge Theory" (QGHG-2021).

TOPICS

- Introduction
- Higher category theory
- Lie 3-groups
- Higher gauge theory
- The Standard Model
- Quantization
- Conclusions

A short recap of the spinfoam quantization method:

• Step 1: Rewrite the GR action — as a topological BF theory plus simplicity constraint,

$$S_{\text{Plebanski}}[B,\omega,\phi] = \int_{\mathcal{M}_4} \langle B \wedge F(\omega) \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} + \langle \phi(B \wedge B) \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}},$$

where the Lie group G is Lorentz-like, and \mathfrak{g} is its Lie algebra.

A short recap of the spinfoam quantization method:

• Step 1: Rewrite the GR action — as a topological BF theory plus simplicity constraint,

$$S_{\text{Plebanski}}[B,\omega,\phi] = \int_{\mathcal{M}_4} \langle B \wedge F(\omega) \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} + \langle \phi(B \wedge B) \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}},$$

where the Lie group G is Lorentz-like, and \mathfrak{g} is its Lie algebra.

• Step 2: Quantize the topological sector — a state sum over a triangulated manifold $T(\mathcal{M}_4)$,

$$Z_{BF} = \sum_{\Lambda} \prod_{v} \mathcal{A}_{v}(\Lambda) \prod_{e} \mathcal{A}_{e}(\Lambda) \prod_{\Delta} \mathcal{A}_{\Delta}(\Lambda) \prod_{\tau} \mathcal{A}_{\tau}(\Lambda) \prod_{\sigma} \mathcal{A}_{\sigma}(\Lambda).$$

"Colors" Λ are reps of G, amplitudes \mathcal{A} chosen so that Z_{BF} is invariant wrt. Pachner moves.

A short recap of the spinfoam quantization method:

• Step 1: Rewrite the GR action — as a topological BF theory plus simplicity constraint,

$$S_{\text{Plebanski}}[B,\omega,\phi] = \int_{\mathcal{M}_4} \langle B \wedge F(\omega) \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} + \langle \phi(B \wedge B) \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}},$$

where the Lie group G is Lorentz-like, and \mathfrak{g} is its Lie algebra.

• Step 2: Quantize the topological sector — a state sum over a triangulated manifold $T(\mathcal{M}_4)$,

$$Z_{BF} = \sum_{\Lambda} \prod_{v} \mathcal{A}_{v}(\Lambda) \prod_{e} \mathcal{A}_{e}(\Lambda) \prod_{\Delta} \mathcal{A}_{\Delta}(\Lambda) \prod_{\tau} \mathcal{A}_{\tau}(\Lambda) \prod_{\sigma} \mathcal{A}_{\sigma}(\Lambda) \,.$$

"Colors" Λ are reps of G, amplitudes \mathcal{A} chosen so that Z_{BF} is invariant wrt. Pachner moves.

• Step 3: Impose the simplicity constraint — deform the invariant Z_{BF} by modifying the amplitudes and reps,

$$Z_{BF} \to Z_{GR}$$
: $\mathcal{A}(\Lambda) \to W(j)$, $j = f(\Lambda)$,

obtaining a state sum Z_{GR} which defines a spinfoam model (Barret-Crane, EPRL/FK, etc).

A short recap of the spinfoam quantization method:

• Step 1: Rewrite the GR action — as a topological BF theory plus simplicity constraint,

$$S_{\text{Plebanski}}[B,\omega,\phi] = \int_{\mathcal{M}_4} \langle B \wedge F(\omega) \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} + \langle \phi(B \wedge B) \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}},$$

where the Lie group G is Lorentz-like, and \mathfrak{g} is its Lie algebra.

• Step 2: Quantize the topological sector — a state sum over a triangulated manifold $T(\mathcal{M}_4)$,

$$Z_{BF} = \sum_{\Lambda} \prod_{v} \mathcal{A}_{v}(\Lambda) \prod_{e} \mathcal{A}_{e}(\Lambda) \prod_{\Delta} \mathcal{A}_{\Delta}(\Lambda) \prod_{\tau} \mathcal{A}_{\tau}(\Lambda) \prod_{\sigma} \mathcal{A}_{\sigma}(\Lambda)$$

"Colors" Λ are reps of G, amplitudes \mathcal{A} chosen so that Z_{BF} is invariant wrt. Pachner moves.

• Step 3: Impose the simplicity constraint — deform the invariant Z_{BF} by modifying the amplitudes and reps,

$$Z_{BF} \to Z_{GR}$$
: $\mathcal{A}(\Lambda) \to W(j)$, $j = f(\Lambda)$,

obtaining a state sum Z_{GR} which defines a spinfoam model (Barret-Crane, EPRL/FK, etc).

Key question — how to add matter fields into the above?

A short recap of the spinfoam quantization method:

- Step 1: Rewrite the GR action...
- Step 2: Quantize the topological sector...
- Step 3: Impose the simplicity constraint...

Key question — how to add matter fields into the above?

Main problems:

- Tetrads are absent!! no way to couple matter to gravity.
- Action for matter is not in the form "BF plus constraints" no way to construct Z_{BF} .
- No Lie group "G" for matter fields cannot use reps as colors.

A short recap of the spinfoam quantization method:

- Step 1: Rewrite the GR action...
- Step 2: Quantize the topological sector...
- Step 3: Impose the simplicity constraint...

Key question — how to add matter fields into the above?

Main problems:

- Tetrads are absent!! no way to couple matter to gravity.
- Action for matter is not in the form "BF plus constraints" no way to construct Z_{BF} .
- No Lie group "G" for matter fields cannot use reps as colors.

Solution — employ categorical ladder and higher gauge theory!

Use HGT to generalize:

- a group to an *n*-group,
- a BF action to an nBF action.

A flash introduction to higher category theory:

- An *n*-category is a set of *objects* with:
 - morphisms (maps between objects),
 - -2-morphisms (maps between morphisms),
 - -3-morphisms (maps between 2-morphisms), ... up to n-morphisms,

along with certain axioms to provide suitable rules for composition, associativity, etc.

A flash introduction to higher category theory:

- An *n*-category is a set of *objects* with:
 - morphisms (maps between objects),
 - -2-morphisms (maps between morphisms),
 - -3-morphisms (maps between 2-morphisms), ... up to n-morphisms,

along with certain axioms to provide suitable rules for composition, associativity, etc.

• An *n*-group is a special case of an *n*-category, which has only one object, and all morphisms are invertible.

A flash introduction to higher category theory:

- An *n*-category is a set of *objects* with:
 - morphisms (maps between objects),
 - -2-morphisms (maps between morphisms),
 - -3-morphisms (maps between 2-morphisms), ... up to n-morphisms,

along with certain axioms to provide suitable rules for composition, associativity, etc.

• An *n*-group is a special case of an *n*-category, which has only one object, and all morphisms are invertible.

A more detailed introduction to higher category theory:

 \Rightarrow look up "An Invitation to Higher Gauge Theory" [Baez, Huerta (2011)]

A flash introduction to higher category theory:

- An *n*-category is a set of *objects* with:
 - morphisms (maps between objects),
 - -2-morphisms (maps between morphisms),
 - -3-morphisms (maps between 2-morphisms), ... up to n-morphisms,

along with certain axioms to provide suitable rules for composition, associativity, etc.

• An *n*-group is a special case of an *n*-category, which has only one object, and all morphisms are invertible.

A more detailed introduction to higher category theory:

 \Rightarrow look up "An Invitation to Higher Gauge Theory" [Baez, Huerta (2011)]

The purpose of *n*-groups (for physicists):

- \Rightarrow more fine-grained description of symmetry using an n-group, than using a group,
- \Rightarrow generalization of differential geometry: *parallel transport, connection, holonomy, curvature.*

Focus on a Lie 3-group, specified in detail by a 2-crossed module: [Faria Martins, Picken (2011); Wang (2014)]

$$(L \xrightarrow{\delta} H \xrightarrow{\partial} G \quad , \quad \triangleright \quad , \quad \{_,_\} \quad)$$

Focus on a Lie 3-group, specified in detail by a 2-crossed module:

[Faria Martins, Picken (2011); Wang (2014)]

$$(L \xrightarrow{\delta} H \xrightarrow{\partial} G , \triangleright , \{ -, - \})$$

- L, H, G Lie groups,

• δ, ∂ — boundary morphisms, • \triangleright — action of G, $\triangleright: G \times G \to G$, $\triangleright: G \times H \to H$, $\triangleright: G \times L \to L$, • $\{_,_\}$ — Peiffer lifting, $\{_,_\}: H \times H \to L$.

Focus on a Lie 3-group, specified in detail by a 2-crossed module:

[Faria Martins, Picken (2011); Wang (2014)]

$$(L \xrightarrow{\delta} H \xrightarrow{\partial} G , \triangleright , \{ -, - \})$$

- L, H, G Lie groups,
- δ, ∂ boundary morphisms,
- \triangleright action of G, $\triangleright: G \times G \to G$, $\triangleright: G \times H \to H$, $\triangleright: G \times L \to L$,
- $\{-, -\}$ Peiffer lifting,

$$\{-,-\}: H \times H \to L.$$

Axioms that hold among these maps:

Chain complex:	$\partial \delta = 1_G,$
Conjugation:	$g \triangleright g_0 = g g_0 g^{-1},$
<i>G</i> -equivariance of ∂ and δ :	$g \triangleright \partial h = \partial (g \triangleright h) , g \triangleright \delta l = \delta (g \triangleright l),$
G-equivariance of lifting:	$g \triangleright \{h_1, h_2\} = \{g \triangleright h_1, g \triangleright h_2\},$
Peiffer commutator:	$\delta\{h_1, h_2\} = h_1 h_2 h_1^{-1}(\partial h_1) \triangleright h_2^{-1},$
<i>L</i> -commutator:	$\{\delta l_1, \delta l_2\} = l_1 l_2 l_1^{-1} l_2^{-1},$
δ -lifting relation:	$\{\delta l,h\}\{h,\delta l\}=l(\partial h\rhd l^{-1}),$
Left product rule:	${h_1h_2, h_3} = {h_1, h_2h_3h_2^{-1}} \partial h_1 \triangleright {h_2, h_3}.$

Purpose of all this — to generalize the notion of parallel transport, from curves to surfaces to volumes:

• Connection generalized to a 3-connection (α, β, γ) , a triple of algebra-valued differential forms:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \alpha^{\alpha}{}_{\mu}(x) \quad \tau_{\alpha} \otimes \mathbf{d} x^{\mu} &\in \mathfrak{g} \otimes \Lambda^{1}(\mathcal{M}) \,, \\ \beta &= \frac{1}{2} \beta^{a}{}_{\mu\nu}(x) \quad t_{a} \otimes \mathbf{d} x^{\mu} \wedge \mathbf{d} x^{\nu} &\in \mathfrak{h} \otimes \Lambda^{2}(\mathcal{M}) \,, \\ \gamma &= \frac{1}{3!} \gamma^{A}{}_{\mu\nu\rho}(x) \quad T_{A} \otimes \mathbf{d} x^{\mu} \wedge \mathbf{d} x^{\nu} \wedge \mathbf{d} x^{\rho} &\in \mathfrak{l} \otimes \Lambda^{3}(\mathcal{M}) \,. \end{aligned}$$

Purpose of all this — to generalize the notion of parallel transport, from curves to surfaces to volumes:

• Connection generalized to a 3-connection (α, β, γ) , a triple of algebra-valued differential forms:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \alpha^{\alpha}{}_{\mu}(x) \quad \tau_{\alpha} \otimes \mathbf{d} x^{\mu} &\in \mathfrak{g} \otimes \Lambda^{1}(\mathcal{M}) \,, \\ \beta &= \frac{1}{2} \beta^{a}{}_{\mu\nu}(x) \quad t_{a} \otimes \mathbf{d} x^{\mu} \wedge \mathbf{d} x^{\nu} &\in \mathfrak{h} \otimes \Lambda^{2}(\mathcal{M}) \,, \\ \gamma &= \frac{1}{3!} \gamma^{A}{}_{\mu\nu\rho}(x) \quad T_{A} \otimes \mathbf{d} x^{\mu} \wedge \mathbf{d} x^{\nu} \wedge \mathbf{d} x^{\rho} &\in \mathfrak{l} \otimes \Lambda^{3}(\mathcal{M}) \,. \end{aligned}$$

• Line holonomy generalized to surface and volume holonomies:

$$g = \mathcal{P} \exp \int_{\mathcal{P}_1} \alpha, \qquad h = \mathcal{S} \exp \int_{\mathcal{S}_2} \beta, \qquad l = \mathcal{V} \exp \int_{\mathcal{V}_3} \gamma.$$

Purpose of all this — to generalize the notion of parallel transport, from curves to surfaces to volumes:

• Connection generalized to a 3-connection (α, β, γ) , a triple of algebra-valued differential forms:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \alpha^{\alpha}{}_{\mu}(x) \quad \tau_{\alpha} \otimes \mathbf{d} x^{\mu} &\in \mathfrak{g} \otimes \Lambda^{1}(\mathcal{M}) \,, \\ \beta &= \frac{1}{2} \beta^{a}{}_{\mu\nu}(x) \quad t_{a} \otimes \mathbf{d} x^{\mu} \wedge \mathbf{d} x^{\nu} &\in \mathfrak{h} \otimes \Lambda^{2}(\mathcal{M}) \,, \\ \gamma &= \frac{1}{3!} \gamma^{A}{}_{\mu\nu\rho}(x) \quad T_{A} \otimes \mathbf{d} x^{\mu} \wedge \mathbf{d} x^{\nu} \wedge \mathbf{d} x^{\rho} &\in \mathfrak{l} \otimes \Lambda^{3}(\mathcal{M}) \,. \end{aligned}$$

• Line holonomy generalized to surface and volume holonomies:

$$g = \mathcal{P} \exp \int_{\mathcal{P}_1} \alpha, \qquad h = \mathcal{S} \exp \int_{\mathcal{S}_2} \beta, \qquad l = \mathcal{V} \exp \int_{\mathcal{V}_3} \gamma.$$

• Ordinary curvature generalized to 3-curvature $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})$, where:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} &= \mathbf{d}\alpha + \alpha \wedge \alpha - \partial\beta \,, \\ \mathcal{G} &= \mathbf{d}\beta + \alpha \wedge^{\triangleright}\beta - \delta\gamma \,, \\ \mathcal{H} &= \mathbf{d}\gamma + \alpha \wedge^{\triangleright}\gamma - \{\beta \wedge \beta\} \end{aligned}$$

•

At this point one can construct the action for a higher gauge theory:

$$S_{3BF} = \int_{\mathcal{M}_4} \left\langle B \wedge \mathcal{F} \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} + \left\langle C \wedge \mathcal{G} \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{h}} + \left\langle D \wedge \mathcal{H} \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{l}}.$$

 $\Rightarrow \text{ Topological } 3BF \text{ theory, based on the 3-group } (\ L \xrightarrow{\delta} H \xrightarrow{\partial} G \ , \ \triangleright \ , \ \{_\,,_\} \).$

At this point one can construct the action for a higher gauge theory:

$$S_{3BF} = \int_{\mathcal{M}_4} \left\langle B \wedge \mathcal{F} \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} + \left\langle C \wedge \mathcal{G} \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{h}} + \left\langle D \wedge \mathcal{H} \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{l}}.$$

 $\Rightarrow \text{ Topological } 3BF \text{ theory, based on the 3-group } (\ L \xrightarrow{\delta} H \xrightarrow{\partial} G \ , \ \triangleright \ , \ \{_,_\} \).$

The physical interpretation of the Lagrange multipliers C and D:

• for $H = \mathbb{R}^4$, multiplier C can be interpreted as the tetrad 1-form:

$$C \rightarrow e = e^a{}_{\mu}(x) t_a \otimes \mathbf{d} x^{\mu}$$
, [Miković, MV (2012)]

At this point one can construct the action for a higher gauge theory:

$$S_{3BF} = \int_{\mathcal{M}_4} \left\langle B \wedge \mathcal{F} \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} + \left\langle C \wedge \mathcal{G} \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{h}} + \left\langle D \wedge \mathcal{H} \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{l}}.$$

 $\Rightarrow \text{ Topological } 3BF \text{ theory, based on the 3-group (} L \xrightarrow{\delta} H \xrightarrow{\partial} G \text{ , } \triangleright \text{ , } \{_,_\} \text{).}$

The physical interpretation of the Lagrange multipliers C and D:

• for $H = \mathbb{R}^4$, multiplier C can be interpreted as the tetrad 1-form:

$$C \rightarrow e = e^a{}_{\mu}(x) t_a \otimes \mathbf{d} x^{\mu}$$
, [Miković, MV (2012)]

• for given L, multiplier D can be *interpreted as the set of matter fields*:

$$D \rightarrow \phi = \phi^A(x) T_A$$
. [Radenković, MV (2019)]

At this point one can construct the action for a higher gauge theory:

$$S_{3BF} = \int_{\mathcal{M}_4} \left\langle B \wedge \mathcal{F} \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} + \left\langle C \wedge \mathcal{G} \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{h}} + \left\langle D \wedge \mathcal{H} \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{l}}.$$

 $\Rightarrow \text{ Topological } 3BF \text{ theory, based on the 3-group } (\ L \xrightarrow{\delta} H \xrightarrow{\partial} G \ , \ \triangleright \ , \ \{_,_\} \).$

The physical interpretation of the Lagrange multipliers C and D:

• for $H = \mathbb{R}^4$, multiplier C can be interpreted as the tetrad 1-form:

$$C \rightarrow e = e^a{}_{\mu}(x) t_a \otimes \mathbf{d} x^{\mu}$$
, [Miković, MV (2012)]

• for given L, multiplier D can be *interpreted as the set of matter fields*:

$$D \rightarrow \phi = \phi^A(x) T_A$$
. [Radenković, MV (2019)]

 \Rightarrow The action thus becomes:

$$S_{3BF} = \int_{\mathcal{M}_4} \langle B \wedge \mathcal{F} \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} + \langle e \wedge \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}} + \langle \phi \wedge \mathcal{H} \rangle_{\mathfrak{l}}$$

How many real-valued field components do we have in the Standard Model?

How many real-valued field components do we have in the Standard Model? The fermion sector gives us:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nu_e \\ e^- \end{pmatrix}_L \begin{pmatrix} u_r \\ d_r \end{pmatrix}_L \begin{pmatrix} u_g \\ d_g \end{pmatrix}_L \begin{pmatrix} u_b \\ d_b \end{pmatrix}_L$$

$$(\nu_e)_R \quad (u_r)_R \quad (u_g)_R \quad (u_b)_R$$

$$(e^-)_R \quad (d_r)_R \quad (d_g)_R \quad (d_b)_R \end{pmatrix} = 16 \quad \frac{\text{spinors}}{\text{family}} \times$$

$$\times 3 \text{ families } \times 4 \quad \frac{\text{real-valued components}}{\text{spinor}} = 192 \text{ real-valued components } \phi^A .$$

How many real-valued field components do we have in the Standard Model? The fermion sector gives us:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nu_e \\ e^- \end{pmatrix}_L \begin{pmatrix} u_r \\ d_r \end{pmatrix}_L \begin{pmatrix} u_g \\ d_g \end{pmatrix}_L \begin{pmatrix} u_b \\ d_b \end{pmatrix}_L$$

$$(\nu_e)_R \quad (u_r)_R \quad (u_g)_R \quad (u_b)_R$$

$$(e^-)_R \quad (d_r)_R \quad (d_g)_R \quad (d_b)_R \end{pmatrix} = 16 \quad \frac{\text{spinors}}{\text{family}} \times$$

$$(e^-)_R \quad (d_r)_R \quad (d_g)_R \quad (d_b)_R \end{pmatrix} = 192 \text{ real-valued components } \phi^A .$$

The Higgs sector gives us:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi^+ \\ \phi_0 \end{pmatrix} = 2 \text{ complex scalar fields } = 4 \text{ real-valued components } \phi^A.$$

How many real-valued field components do we have in the Standard Model? The fermion sector gives us:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nu_e \\ e^- \end{pmatrix}_L \begin{pmatrix} u_r \\ d_r \end{pmatrix}_L \begin{pmatrix} u_g \\ d_g \end{pmatrix}_L \begin{pmatrix} u_b \\ d_b \end{pmatrix}_L$$

$$(\nu_e)_R \quad (u_r)_R \quad (u_g)_R \quad (u_b)_R$$

$$(e^-)_R \quad (d_r)_R \quad (d_g)_R \quad (d_b)_R \end{pmatrix} = 16 \quad \frac{\text{spinors}}{\text{family}} \times$$

$$(e^-)_R \quad (d_r)_R \quad (d_g)_R \quad (d_b)_R \end{pmatrix} = 192 \text{ real-valued components } \phi^A$$

The Higgs sector gives us:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi^+ \\ \phi_0 \end{pmatrix} = 2 \text{ complex scalar fields } = 4 \text{ real-valued components } \phi^A.$$

This suggests the structure for L in the form:

$$L = L_{\text{fermion}} \times L_{\text{Higgs}}, \quad \dim L_{\text{fermion}} = 192, \quad \dim L_{\text{Higgs}} = 4.$$

The actions $\triangleright: G \times L \to L$ and $\triangleright: G \times H \to H$ specify the transformation properties of matter ϕ^A and tetrad $e^a{}_\mu$ with respect to Lorentz and internal symmetries:

The actions $\triangleright: G \times L \to L$ and $\triangleright: G \times H \to H$ specify the transformation properties of matter ϕ^A and tetrad $e^a{}_\mu$ with respect to Lorentz and internal symmetries:

• Choose the group $G = SO(3,1) \times SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$. Then, for example, given any $g \in G$ and a doublet

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_b \\ d_b \end{pmatrix}_L$$

the action $g \triangleright u_b$ encodes that u_b consists of 4 real-valued fields which transform as:

- a left-handed spinor wrt. SO(3,1),

- as a "blue" component of the fundamental representation of SU(3),
- and as "isospin $+\frac{1}{2}$ " of the left doublet wrt. $SU(2) \times U(1)$.

The actions $\triangleright: G \times L \to L$ and $\triangleright: G \times H \to H$ specify the transformation properties of matter ϕ^A and tetrad $e^a{}_\mu$ with respect to Lorentz and internal symmetries:

• Choose the group $G = SO(3,1) \times SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$. Then, for example, given any $g \in G$ and a doublet

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_b \\ d_b \end{pmatrix}_L$$
,

the action $g \triangleright u_b$ encodes that u_b consists of 4 real-valued fields which transform as:

- a left-handed spinor wrt. SO(3,1),

- as a "blue" component of the fundamental representation of SU(3),
- and as "isospin $+\frac{1}{2}$ " of the left doublet wrt. $SU(2) \times U(1)$.
- Moreover, G acts in the same way across families, suggesting the structure

 $L_{\text{fermion}} = L_{1\text{st family}} \times L_{2\text{nd family}} \times L_{3\text{rd family}}, \qquad \dim L_{k\text{-th family}} = 64.$

The actions $\triangleright: G \times L \to L$ and $\triangleright: G \times H \to H$ specify the transformation properties of matter ϕ^A and tetrad $e^a{}_\mu$ with respect to Lorentz and internal symmetries:

• Choose the group $G = SO(3,1) \times SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$. Then, for example, given any $g \in G$ and a doublet

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_b \\ d_b \end{pmatrix}_L$$

the action $g \triangleright u_b$ encodes that u_b consists of 4 real-valued fields which transform as:

- a left-handed spinor wrt. SO(3,1),

- as a "blue" component of the fundamental representation of SU(3),
- and as "isospin $+\frac{1}{2}$ " of the left doublet wrt. $SU(2) \times U(1)$.
- Moreover, G acts in the same way across families, suggesting the structure

 $L_{\text{fermion}} = L_{1\text{st family}} \times L_{2\text{nd family}} \times L_{3\text{rd family}}, \qquad \dim L_{k\text{-th family}} = 64.$

• Next choose the group $H = \mathbb{R}^4$. The action \triangleright of G on H is via vector representation for the SO(3, 1) part and via trivial representation for the $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ part.

The other maps in the 3-group are chosen to be trivial:

• For all $l \in L$ and $\vec{u}, \vec{v} \in H$, we define

$$\delta l = 1_H = 0, \qquad \partial \vec{v} = 1_G, \qquad \{\vec{u}, \vec{v}\} = 1_L.$$

The other maps in the 3-group are chosen to be trivial:

• For all $l \in L$ and $\vec{u}, \vec{v} \in H$, we define

$$\delta l = 1_H = 0, \qquad \partial \vec{v} = 1_G, \qquad \{\vec{u}, \vec{v}\} = 1_L.$$

• In order to satisfy all axioms of a 3-group, the group L must be Abelian (the *L*-commutator axiom). Thus, given the Abelian nature and dimensionality of L, the simplest choices for its component groups are

$$L_{\mathrm{Higgs}} = \mathbb{R}^4(\mathbb{C}), \qquad L_{\mathrm{fermion family}} = \mathbb{R}^{64}(\mathbb{G}),$$

where \mathbb{G} is the Grassmann algebra.

The other maps in the 3-group are chosen to be trivial:

• For all $l \in L$ and $\vec{u}, \vec{v} \in H$, we define

$$\delta l = 1_H = 0, \qquad \partial \vec{v} = 1_G, \qquad \{\vec{u}, \vec{v}\} = 1_L.$$

• In order to satisfy all axioms of a 3-group, the group L must be Abelian (the *L*-commutator axiom). Thus, given the Abelian nature and dimensionality of L, the simplest choices for its component groups are

$$L_{\text{Higgs}} = \mathbb{R}^4(\mathbb{C}), \qquad L_{\text{fermion family}} = \mathbb{R}^{64}(\mathbb{G}),$$

where $\mathbb G$ is the Grassmann algebra.

The Standard Model 3-group is thus specified with the choice:

$$G = SO(3,1) \times SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1), \qquad H = \mathbb{R}^4,$$
$$L = \mathbb{R}^4(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{R}^{64}(\mathbb{G}) \times \mathbb{R}^{64}(\mathbb{G}) \times \mathbb{R}^{64}(\mathbb{G}),$$

and with the action \triangleright of G on H, L as previously described.

The Standard Model 3-group, $(L \xrightarrow{\delta} H \xrightarrow{\partial} G, \triangleright, \{-, -\})$, defined as: $G = SO(3, 1) \times SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1), \qquad H = \mathbb{R}^4,$ $L = \mathbb{R}^4(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{R}^{64}(\mathbb{G}) \times \mathbb{R}^{64}(\mathbb{G}) \times \mathbb{R}^{64}(\mathbb{G}).$

The Standard Model 3-group, $(L \xrightarrow{\delta} H \xrightarrow{\partial} G, \triangleright, \{-, -\})$, defined as:

$$G = SO(3,1) \times SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1), \qquad H = \mathbb{R}^4,$$
$$L = \mathbb{R}^4(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{R}^{64}(\mathbb{G}) \times \mathbb{R}^{64}(\mathbb{G}) \times \mathbb{R}^{64}(\mathbb{G}).$$

The constrained *3BF* action for the Standard Model coupled to Einstein-Cartan gravity:

$$S_{GR+SM} = \int_{\mathcal{M}_4} B_{\hat{\alpha}} \wedge \mathcal{F}^{\hat{\alpha}} + e_{\hat{a}} \wedge \mathcal{G}^{\hat{a}} + \phi_{\hat{A}} \wedge \mathcal{H}^{\hat{A}}$$

$$+ \left(B_{\hat{\alpha}} - C_{\hat{\alpha}}{}^{\hat{\beta}}M_{cd\hat{\beta}}e^c \wedge e^d\right) \wedge \lambda^{\hat{\alpha}} - \left(\gamma_{\hat{A}} - e^a \wedge e^b \wedge e^c C_{\hat{A}}{}^{\hat{B}}M_{abc\hat{B}}\right) \wedge \lambda^{\hat{A}} - 4\pi i \, l_p^2 \, \varepsilon_{abcd} e^a \wedge e^b \wedge \beta^c \phi_{\hat{A}} T^{d\hat{A}}{}_{\hat{B}} \phi^{\hat{B}}$$

$$+ \zeta^{ab}{}_{\hat{\alpha}} \wedge \left(M_{ab}{}^{\hat{\alpha}} \varepsilon^{cdef} e_c \wedge e_d \wedge e_e \wedge e_f - F^{\hat{\alpha}} \wedge e_c \wedge e_d\right) + \zeta^{ab}{}_{\hat{A}} \wedge \left(M_{abc}{}^{\hat{A}} \varepsilon^{cdef} e_d \wedge e_e \wedge e_f - F^{\hat{A}} \wedge e_a \wedge e_b\right)$$

$$- \varepsilon_{abcd} e^a \wedge e^b \wedge e^c \wedge e^d \, \left(\Lambda + M_{\hat{A}\hat{B}} \phi^{\hat{A}} \phi^{\hat{B}} + Y_{\hat{A}\hat{B}\hat{C}} \phi^{\hat{A}} \phi^{\hat{B}} \phi^{\hat{C}} + L_{\hat{A}\hat{B}\hat{C}\hat{D}} \phi^{\hat{A}} \phi^{\hat{B}} \phi^{\hat{C}} \phi^{\hat{D}}\right) \,.$$

 \Rightarrow Finally, one can go even further and separate scalar and fermion fields into distinct groups, employing the structure of a 4-group and a 4BF action. [Miković, MV (2021)]

QUANTIZATION

Revisit the spinfoam quantization method:

- Step 1: Rewrite the GR+SM action... done!
- Step 2: Quantize the topological sector... **done!** (see Tijana's talk)
- Step 3: Impose the simplicity constraints... work in progress!

QUANTIZATION

Revisit the spinfoam quantization method:

- Step 1: Rewrite the GR+SM action... done!
- Step 2: Quantize the topological sector... **done!** (see Tijana's talk)
- Step 3: Impose the simplicity constraints... work in progress!

GR without matter can be described using 2-groups $(H \xrightarrow{\partial} G, \triangleright)$:

• The choice G = SO(3, 1), $H = \mathbb{R}^4$, is called the *Poincaré 2-group*. The corresponding constrained 2BF action for GR is

$$S_{GR} = \int_{\mathcal{M}_4} B^{ab} \wedge R_{ab}(\omega) + e^a \wedge G_a - \phi_{ab} \wedge \left(B^{ab} - \frac{1}{16\pi l_p^2} \varepsilon^{abcd} e_c \wedge e_d \right)$$

One possible quantization prescription leads to the *spincube model*. [Miković, MV (2012)]

- A detailed representation theory for 2-groups (including the Poincaré 2-group), has been developed in great detail. [Baez, Baratin, Freidel, Wise (2012)]
- The topological invariant and TQFT for the Euclidean 2-group $(G = SO(4), H = \mathbb{R}^4)$ has also been studied in detail. [Baratin, Freidel (2015); Asante etal (2020)]

CONCLUSIONS

- Higher gauge theory represents a formalism where gravity, gauge fields, fermions and Higgs are treated on an equal footing.
- Resulting generalized spinfoam models naturally include matter fields coupled to gravity.
- The underlying algebraic structure of a 3-group classifies all fundamental fields by specifying groups L, H, G and their maps $\delta, \partial, \triangleright, \{ -, \}$.
- This structure has natural geometrical interpretation of parallel transport along a curve, a surface, and a volume.
- The gauge group L specifies the complete matter sector of the Standard Model if one chooses

$$L = \mathbb{R}^4(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{R}^{64}(\mathbb{G}) \times \mathbb{R}^{64}(\mathbb{G}) \times \mathbb{R}^{64}(\mathbb{G}).$$

- The action \triangleright of G on L specifies the transformation properties of matter fields.
- Nontrivial choices of the 3-group structure may provide new avenues for research on unification of all fields.

THANK YOU!